



Richard W. Goldstucker

Partner

1100 Peachtree Street NE
Suite 2800, Atlanta, GA USA 30309
t 404.815.6073 | f 404.541.3301
rgoldstucker@ktslaw.com

Services

Asset Creation - Prosecution &
Counseling
Intellectual Property
Patent Litigation
Post-Grant Proceedings

Richard Goldstucker focuses his practice on counseling and patent litigation covering a broad range of technologies. His practice includes broad-based strategic counseling, advising clients on strategies to avoid litigation and, when litigation is necessary, representing clients in federal and state court and in U.S. and international arbitration. Richard has experience in the following technology areas:

- Wi-Fi and Cellular networks
- Communications network protocols, including DOCSIS, UPnP, MoCA, IEEE, and Cable Labs
- Digital transmission techniques and error detection
- OLED Technologies
- Mechanical inventions, including collapsible concrete, window covering mechanisms, insulative food service modules, printers, angioplasty catheters, drainage systems

Richard has also represented clients in drafting and negotiating all manner of contract, joint-development, and patent licensing agreements. He further counsels clients on developing and growing intellectual property portfolios.

Richard was recognized in 2023 and the six years immediately preceding as a Georgia “Rising Star” for Intellectual Property Litigation by *Super Lawyers* magazine. He was recognized as a top patent practitioner in 2024, 2025 and 2026 by *IAM Patent 1000 – The World’s Leading Patent Practitioners*.

Experience

Represented Cox Communications in a patent litigation matter involving multiple patents directed toward the UPnP standard, MOCA technology, and the Cable Labs Home Networking Standard. *Preservation Technologies, LLC v. Netflix Inc.*, No. 8:11-cv-01860-DOC-JPR (C.D. Ca. filed Dec. 2, 2011).

Represented Motorola Mobility in a lawsuit involving allegations of patent infringement of seven patents related to mobile phone data transfer. Motorola Mobility filed petitions for *inter partes* review of the asserted patents and moved to stay the proceedings. The Board instituted all of the IPRs and after all final written decisions, appeals and remands were completed, all asserted claims for two of the patents were invalidated, some claims of a third patent were invalidated, and plaintiff agreed not to pursue claims of a fourth patent. The case now has been dismissed. *Intellectual Ventures I LLC, et al. v. Motorola Mobility, LLC*, No. 0:13-cv-61358 (S.D. Fla. filed June 19, 2013).

Represented CoxCom, Inc. and Cox Communications, Inc. in the District of Delaware. BT filed a patent infringement action against Cox accusing certain aspects of its telecommunications and television network of infringing eight different patents. The case settled a week before the scheduled trial. *British Telecommunications, PLC v. Coxcom Inc.*, No. 1:10-cv-00658 (D. Del. filed Aug. 5, 2010).

Represented Manhattan Associates in a patent infringement litigation in the District of Massachusetts. The plaintiff asserted a patent related to supply chain software against Manhattan Associates and several other defendants. Case settled. *Sky Technologies LLC v. Microsoft Corp., et al.*, No. 1:11-cv-10833 (D. Mass. filed May 11, 2011).

Served as lead counsel on behalf of Jan Voda, M.D., a cardiologist, in a patent infringement suit related to angioplasty catheters against Medtronic, Inc. and Medtronic Vascular, Inc., in the Western District of Oklahoma. After a two-week trial in January 2012, a jury found Dr. Voda's patents to be valid and Medtronic willfully infringed. The jury awarded Dr. Voda a royalty rate of over 14 percent. *Voda v. Medtronic, Inc. et al.*, No. 09-cv-95 (W.D. Okla filed Jan. 22, 2009).

Successfully defended Victorinox Swiss Army, Inc. against claims of infringement of a patent related to a geographic search function in the Eastern District of Texas. Matter settled. *GeoTag Inc. v. Where 2 Get It Inc. et al.*, No. 11-0175 (E.D. Tex. filed Mar. 15, 2011).

Represented Cox Communications and EarthLink in a suit filed by Ronald A. Katz Licensing Technology in the Eastern District of Texas. The suit claims patent infringement stemming from our clients' use of automated telephone processing systems. The action was consolidated for pre-trial proceedings with other suits brought by Katz against various other defendants in the Central District of California. *Ronald A. Katz Tech. Licensing, LLP v. Cox Commc'ns*, No. 07-2299 (E.D. Tex. filed April 6, 2007); *In re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent Litig.*, No. 07-01816 (E.D. Tex. filed July 7, 2008) and *Ronald A. Katz Tech. Licensing, LLP v. EarthLink, Inc.*, No. 07-2235, No. 07-2299 (E.D. Tex. filed April 9, 2007); *In re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent Litig.*, No. 07-01816 (E.D. Tex. filed July 7, 2008).

Education

University of Georgia School of Law J.D. (2009) recipient of the Marion Smith Memorial Academic Scholarship
University of Pennsylvania B.S. (2006) Systems Science and Engineering, *cum laude*

Admissions

Georgia (2009)

Court Admissions

Georgia Court of Appeals

Supreme Court of Georgia

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia

Professional & Community Activities

Counsel, Key Partner, Partnership for Inclusive Innovation

Counsel, Georgia Innovates Task Force

Board Member, Atlanta Memorial Park Conservancy

Lawyers Club of Atlanta, Member

Leadership Atlanta, Class of 2027

University of Pennsylvania Alumni Interviewer

State Bar of Georgia Young Lawyers Leadership Academy Class of 2012

Journal of Intellectual Property Law, Notes Editor (2008-2009)

Insights

[News Releases](#)

Kilpatrick Continues to Earn Outstanding Rankings in Annual IAM Patent 1000

May 29, 2025

[News Releases](#)

Kilpatrick Continues to Earn Impressive Rankings in Annual IAM Patent 1000

July 1, 2024

[Perspectives](#)

Monthly Minute | Patent Licensing Tips and Takeaways

January 26, 2023

[News Releases](#)

135 Kilpatrick Townsend Attorneys Recognized in 2022 Super Lawyers

December 15, 2022

[Perspectives](#)

